

To the E.P.A. Environmental Appeals Board,

I motion to you in continued interest requesting oral argument regarding the Air Permits in our Arctic Waters. I am also requesting an opportunity to reply to Region 10 and Shell's response brief.

This will help the board by including a local voice, a community member, about the impact of the permits and their apparent failings. Currently the board is insulated from actual interaction/exposure to those who would be most affected, and deciding solely from the legal maneuvering of several factions of lawyers.

My main complaint is that I do not consistently receive information on a timely manner and, because I am not a lawyer and have little experience in this complicated process, it takes me more time to read, comprehend and attempt to comment and respond. Thus it is vital for me to receive communications in an equal, timely matter. This is my first time in this process. I had the right to be involved in equal status as an individual with the other law teams by receiving materials in a timely manner.

This is not fair, and prejudices my ability to respond effectively.

I feel that I have not had the complete allowable time as other parties involved, and thus request for a continuance, specifically I request time to respond and interact in time periods consistent with all other parties involved. My email address has always been available and I respond when I am given materials on a timely basis. These responses I am writing now are short and not complete, rushed and therefore possibly failing.

I received late emails, like I am an afterthought. How am I to be involved if I do not timely receive documents that are relevant, unless that is the intent of the EAB or other parties involved. Initially I felt that I was part of the process of this air permit, but now I sense I am being dismissed or delayed through late or absent materials relevant to this process to hinder or delay my responses effectively.

I appreciate your fair consideration and again beg the EAB to hear my comments in oral argument. A decision of this magnitude warrants this small amount of time from your Board. Please allow my oral argument and the opportunity to respond to the Region's and Shell's response briefs.

In complete respect,

Daniel James Lum

I, Daniel Lum, hereby certify that on November 22, 2011 this request for oral argument and opportunity to reply was emailed to counsel for all parties